

Charge to Awards Juries.

The integrity and quality of all awardee selections should reflect the premier status of the ACS Division of Analytical Chemistry awards for recognizing excellence in contributions to the chemical sciences and society.

1. ***Apply consistent criteria:*** Nomination materials are to be evaluated using consistent criteria.
2. ***Carefully consider each nominee's materials:*** Upon receipt of the nomination packages, each awards juror has an obligation to consider each nominee in the pool. Because nominees come from a variety of employment sectors, the unique characteristics and opportunities these sectors have for displaying achievements should be considered.
3. ***Implicit association and the potential for bias issues:*** The awards juror will be made aware of bias issues. Materials on the Division website are available to consider the role of bias and awards jurors are asked to review these documents prior to evaluation of nominations. Questions related to bias may be directed to the Awards Committee Chair for clarification and further discussion.
4. ***Disclose any conflicts of interest:*** Any perceived or real conflicts of interest should be reported and discussed with the Awards Committee Chair. If you feel you are in conflict with any application (such as, a colleague at your present institution, a former student, etc.) and cannot evaluate that application objectively, please indicate this by ranking such applications as CONFLICT at the end of your list. (This will not count/for against the application.)